

EVALUATION PHRASES



Useful phrases for CP Recommendations (and good evaluations in general)

- X was a delight to have in the committee
- X took a leading role in committee discussions
- X was exceptionally well-informed and had clearly done his/her research
- I would highly recommend X to be promoted to a CP should she/he so wish.
- X was a deeply impressive member of our committee.
- X was incredibly well-informed, enthusiastic and wholeheartedly engaged in the task at hand.
- X was always keen to share ideas but also listened to other peoples' opinions, taking them on board and consolidating the two perspectives in order to ensure the resolution encompassed every delegate's point of view.
- X's observations and suggestions were always relevant and pertinent
- X went out of his way to do extra research outside of committee meetings.
- Examples such as these exhibit X's commitment and insatiable desire to fulfil his/her potential.
- X's English was excellent and X played a key role in the wording of the resolution.
- I would fervently recommend X to be promoted to CP if he/she should so wish.
- X's tireless work ethic and dedication would serve X well.
- X participated constantly in committee meetings and X's ability to explain X's ideas succinctly and lucidly was a key factor in moving the discussion along.
- X's English was very good and X was able to convey X's point of view lucidly and fluently.
- X's energy and enthusiasm was contagious and X took a leading role in committee discussions
- X was a natural leader and X's rhetorical skill and charisma often served to persuade the entire committee to adopt X's point of view
- X was an active member of the GA and was impressive in defence of our resolution.
- X was clearly well-informed and had researched our topic in depth
- X also did extra research in X's free time which was highly impressive and was a testament to X's dedication and work ethic

Useful phrases to say nasty things in a nice way

TIPS: Always put the bad bit first and then end on a good note

- It was a shame that.....
- I was disappointed that..... due to the fact that I believe X has so much potential
- X was certainly a dominant presence in our committee
- I felt X didn't fully do themselves justice as someone so capable could have....
- X was relatively/largely passive
- I worry that, at times X's (enthusiasm) led X to become a little distracted and a source of distraction for everyone else in the committee
- X grew in confidence throughout the week and while X was quiet and reserved initially she really came out of her shell towards the final stages of committee work and during the GA
- I would have liked to see X participate more as I think X has huge potential
- I am concerned that X's timidity holds X back...
- X was prone to distractions at times
- I hope I am not being unfair in my assessment that....
- The fact that, when X did participate, X's answers and opinions were very good merely makes the situation even more lamentable.
- I feel as if X has real potential and would urge X not to squander it by being.....
- I am concerned that this confidence sometimes manifests itself as a bad attitude...
- While I am a firm believer in having conviction in your opinions, X needs to be careful that this self-assurance doesn't come across in a negative way.
- I hope I am not being unfair in my assessment that.... but.....
- I think this can be put down to the fact that X was somewhat cautious due to his level of English which, although good, was not quite up to the standard of the other members of the committee who were nearly fluent
- I got the sense that X was nervous about how X's comments or points of view might be received and consequently held back somewhat
- I had some trouble with X initially due to.....
- I am sure none of X's actions were malicious or intentional however X persistently failed to address the presidency and behaved in quite a childish manner when I reprimanded X
- As a Head of Delegation it ought to have been X's responsibility to set an example to the other delegates
- This seems a shame due to X's evident potential as was demonstrated by X's excellent committee work.

CP Recommendations

We would like to recommend XXXX for the role of Committee President. When looking for people to recommend for CP we were looking for the following things:

1. Fluent English;
2. A presence, i.e. they command respect and would be able to chair effectively;
3. Demonstration of their commitment to MEP which was shown by research as well as active participation;
4. An ability to listen carefully and fully understand the point that people are trying to communicate;
5. Demonstration of good organisational skills i.e. if they remind the committee to move on, etc.

We feel that the delegates whom we have recommended possess all of these skills and would make fantastic Committee Presidents.

Committee on YY

Evaluation by the Committee President, XX

General:

We would like to commend the committee for their fantastic participation. Almost everybody made significant contributions throughout the week, being friendly and constructive in doing so. The committee came up with some very creative ideas for the resolution and made a solid effort at defending it. It is a shame that we did not pass but we are very proud of the committee for their effort in the GA, and we're sure that with more time the committee would have persuaded the GA to pass the resolution! There are only a few negative things which were noticed throughout the committee discussions. The first and most obvious was the lack of research. There were some people who came very well prepared, for which I thank them. However, others seemed like they were a little out of their depth at times and there were definitely some key things missed out in the discussion. One would be further exploration of utilising xxxxx. Further to this, discussion occasionally strayed away from topic and the committee had to be reminded to stay on topic. The thing about the committee which we really enjoyed was their general friendliness. Both in and out of committee meetings everyone seemed to be getting on really well and making good friends. This is important because, as well as making committee teamwork more effective, it is a fantastic part of the overall MEP experience.

In details

XX (xxxx):

XX was quiet to start but it was encouraging to see X confidence grow throughout committee meetings. X contributions to the meetings were always constructive and helpful, and presented in a friendly way at a good level of English. X's contribution in the General Assembly was also impressive, making a good effort to defend the resolution.

XX (Y):

Y spoke quite frequently during committee meetings, making some good points. She had some strong opinions and therefore had to occasionally be reminded to stay on track but her performance was impressive overall. Y also made a good contribution in the General Assembly, defending our resolution quite well.

XX (Z):

From the start, Z was a very active member in the committee. Z helped us greatly in our first meeting by coming up with an idea for the GG. Overall, Z spoke very frequently, even when Z wasn't asked to! Unfortunately Z needed reminding to not interrupt people and use appropriate MEP protocol. Z had very strong opinions, which meant that Z could come across as condescending. However, Z was clearly well-prepared and committed to the resolution, making some key contributions performing extremely well in the General Assembly, being one of the most prominent defenders of the resolution.

XX (A):

A was a very active member of the committee, always being friendly and polite when making A constructive and valuable contributions. As well as coming up with original ideas for clauses A was a very effective debater, being able to aid the progress of the committee on many occasions. A made a very good answer in defence of the resolution during the General Assembly. Overall, A was a very valuable delegate to the committee.

XX: (B):

B was often restricted by B English, but B made good and constructive comments, often helping the committee to identify key issues with the resolution. B was very motivated to begin with but B participation appeared to dwindle as the meetings went on. B made some significant contributions in the General Assembly, including an amendment on the Committee for Women's Rights' resolution.

XX: (C):

The most noticeable thing about C was how extremely well prepared C was. C had clearly done a lot of research and had a lot of useful knowledge to share with the committee. This research helped C in his position as one of the three delegates in the committee who really led the discussion and debate. We were very impressed with C speech against the amendment; C rebutted the proposed amendment perfectly.

XX: (D):

D was one of the most outstanding members of the committee and one of the three delegates leading the debate. D had done an extremely large quantity of research and had organised it well. This allowed D to propose a large number of high-quality original ideas which were essential in the development of the committee's resolution. D was also very good in the General Assembly. Ds defence of our resolution and his questions against other resolutions were all exceptional. Finally, D made two speeches in the General Assembly which were both of fantastic quality. Bs closing speech for our resolution and Ds speech against Civil Liberties, Justice & Home Affairs II were clear, calm, well-structured, well thought out and persuasive. Overall, D was one of the most influential members of our committee and I believe that D would make a great Committee President in future sessions.

XX (E):

E was one of the very few members of the committee leading the debate. E had many good ideas and opinions which E managed to voice in a clear, confident and persuasive manner. One of E's strong points was Es objectiveness; E helped to identify flaws in our resolution and E was also one of the most active members in defending our own resolution, making some very good replies to questions and the amendment. Finally, E's speech was very impressive. It had a good message, fantastic structure and was delivered well. Overall, E was one of the best delegates on the committee and I wish E lots of luck for gaining a position as Committee President in the future. E would be great at it.

Committee on YY

Evaluation by the Committee President, XX

General:

Right from the off this committee was an absolute pleasure to work with. It was clear from our first few hours during the teambuilding that everyone was keen to make this a week to remember. All the delegates were friendly, eager to bond with the other members of the committee and curious as to their opinions. As ever the MEP session was the perfect opportunity to confound country-orientated stereotypes and this committee certainly did not fail to deliver. Every member of the committee was open-minded and understanding; necessary qualities in group work such as this. The atmosphere in the committee meetings themselves was generally highly productive, although the delegates flagged at times, most notably during the final hour of the meeting on Sunday afternoon, which was understandable considering it was 6 hours long. The vast majority of the delegates contributed hugely, airing their opinions in lucid and coherent ways. Ultimately, it was a shame that our resolution, despite its evident merit, failed to pass in the GA. I for one believed it to be very worthy however there was some concern among our committee that the other delegates had failed to understand its complexity.

In details

XX – B

B was certainly a dominant presence within the committee. B seemed well-informed and keen to share Bs ideas with the other delegates. Bs English was very good and B was able to convey his point of view lucidly and fluently. B was a main contributor within the committee meetings and often managed to persuade the vast majority of the committee to vote on Bs side due to Bs charisma and rhetoric. It was a shame, therefore, that B opted to do the opening speech during the GA instead of the closing speech. I felt B didn't fully do himself justice as someone so capable really would have excelled had B been challenged. In the GA he sometimes answered questions however B was far less active than he had been in the committee meetings. It was safe to say that I was slightly disappointed to see that B was largely passive during the GA sessions especially after Bs excellent work in the committee. I wish B the best for the future and hope to see B soon.

YY – C (RECOMMENDATION FOR CP)

C was a delight to have in the committee. Cs energy and enthusiasm was contagious and C took a leading role in committee discussions. I was impressed C had done all the research I had suggested in my preparation module and, as a result, C was very well-informed and had much to say. C was slightly prone to distractions at time but on the whole C was focussed and diligent. C was chosen by the committee to read out the OCs in the GA which C did very well, with precision and fluency. C was also a very active member in the debates of our committee as well as those of others and was even chosen to give a speech against a resolution which I thought was good. I would highly recommend C to be promoted to a CP should C so wish. I wish Lizzie the best for the future and hope to see her soon.

XX – D

D was certainly well-informed and knowledgeable about our chosen area of discussion. When D did contribute Ds points were pertinent and relevant and served to significantly further the debate. D grew in confidence throughout the week and while D was quiet and reserved initially D really came out of Ds shell towards the final stages of committee work and during the GA. I was particularly impressed by Ds performance in the GA while defending our resolution. D was however relatively passive in the rest of the GA sessions and I would have liked to see Ds participate more as I think D has huge potential. I wish D all the best for the future and hope to see D soon.

XX – M

M was relatively quiet during the initial stages of the week. I felt that the ICs were therefore not particularly representative of Ms opinions as they differed quite substantially from Ms presentation at the beginning of Committee Meetings. I was really pleased to see M grow in confidence hugely as the week progressed. It was clear M was well-informed and had gradually more and more to say on the subject. By the end of committee meetings I felt that M had participated significantly and that the resolution reflected Ms opinion as well as that of others in the committee. M was an active member of the GA and was impressive in defence of our resolution. I wish M all the best for the future and hope to see M soon.

XX – E

E was a key element of this committee. E was well-informed and enthusiastic and was very keen to perfect the resolution; E volunteered on many occasions to do extra research in Es free time – something which impressed me hugely. E participated constantly in committee meetings and Es ability to explain her ideas succinctly and lucidly was a key factor in moving the discussion along. Ei was chosen to do the against the amendment speech, something in which I think E did fantastically well and, as a result, the amendment was not passed. I think E occasionally contradicted the resolution in Es defence of it however in the GA however Es defence of our resolution and utilisation of good evidence and statistics was commendable.